

United States Patent and Trademark Office

B

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/782,968	02/20/2004	Kevin J. Williams	W1107/20009	9607
31717 7590 12/07/2007 CAESAR, RIVISE, BERNSTEIN, COHEN & POKOTILOW, LTD. Attn: PTO Customer No. 31717			EXAMINER	
			HARRIS, ALANA M	
11TH FLOOR, SEVEN PENN CENTER PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-2212		ZR .	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IIILADELIII	III., 171 19103 22 12		1643	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/782,968	WILLIAMS, KEVIN J.				
Office Action Summary	∍Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.	1643				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period way reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		·				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ju	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 July 2007</u> .					
•—						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-264</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-109, 145-161, 163-227, 234-240</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>110-144, 162, 228-233 are 241-264</u> i	is/are rejected.					
,	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>08/04/2005</u> .	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- Applicant's election without traverse of Group 223 in the reply filed on July 23,
 acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 1-264 are pending.

Claims 1-109, 145-161, 163-227 and 234-240 drawn to non-elected inventions are withdrawn from examination.

Claims 241-264 have been added.

Claims 110-144, 162, 228-233 are 241-264 are examined on the merits.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code on at least one page, page 54, lines 13, 28 and 30. Applicant is required to review the entire specification for similar errors and delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP § 608.01.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 230 and 251 are objected to because of the following informalities: the word "persion" is misspelled and should be replaced with the term "person". Correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/782,968

Art Unit: 1643

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 110-144, 162, 228-233 and 241-264 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- a. Claims 110, 111, 228, 229, 241, 242, 249, 250, 257 and 258 cite "utilizing the result..." and/ or "...utilizing the change...". It is not clear how one or ordinary skill in the art makes use of a result in the diagnosis of disease. There seems to be a correlation step missing, wherein a specific result correlates to a negative or positive diagnosis. Applicants are requested to clarify.

Double Patenting

7. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Application/Control Number: 10/782,968

Art Unit: 1643

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

8. Claims 110-144, 162, 228-233 are 241-264 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 110-144 and 162-183 of copending Application No. 10/419,462 (filed April 21, 2003). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of both applications share the active method step of measuring an individual's plasma level of thrombospondin fragment or fragments with a binding agent in order to detect the presence and/or clinical course of a neoplastic disease.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

9. Claims 110-144, 162, 228-233 are 241-264 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 66, 67, 78, 93 and 110 of copending Application No. 10/525,610 (filed March 24, 2006). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of both applications share the active method step of measuring an individual's plasma level of thrombospondin fragment or fragments with a binding agent in order to detect the presence and/or clinical course of a neoplastic disease. And even though the copending application includes claims reading on a kit.

Application/Control Number: 10/782,968

Art Unit: 1643

The kit has no patentable weight and the said kit encompasses the claimed method measuring an individual's plasma level of thrombospondin fragment or fragments with a binding agent in order to detect the presence and/or clinical course of a neoplastic disease.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Alana M. Harris, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571)272-0831. The Examiner works a flexible schedule, however she can normally be reached between the hours of 7:30 am to 6:30 pm, with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry R. Helms, Ph.D. can be reached on (571) 272-0832. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/782,968

Art Unit: 1643

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ALANA M. HARRIS, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER

UMHAVV Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.

15 October 2007